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## Comprehensive Needs A ssessment
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## Revised/Approved: April 18, 2023

## DemographicsSummary

The Fort Worth ISD student enrollment serves over 72,000+students. The recent population of the Wedgwood MS area consist of: 48,394 residents, which 52.6 are Hispanic, 29\%
 student enrollment for each gradelevel and demographic are below.

As of 10/13/2023 our data shows that
Total 529 Female 261 Male 268 Asian 15 Black/African American 185 Hispanic 268 Multiple 19 Pacific Islander 2 White 40 ELL 164 SPED 69
241 7th graders with 182 that are economi cally disadvantaged and 288 8th graders with 207 that are economically disadvantaged
Our staff is diverse in terms of years of experience as well as race/ethnicity. Due to change in administration there was an increase in staff turn over the summer of the 2023-2024 school year causing the $100 \%$ staff retention rate to drop to a $60 \%$ retention rate leaving the campus with many vacancies and several long term subs to start the school year. The


## Student Learning Summary

MAP Growth 2022-2023
Mathematics
7th-53\%
8th-45\%
Reading
7th-64\%
8th-58\%

| Subject | Grade | Approaches | Meets | Masters |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Math | 7 | $28 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Math | 8 | $59 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Reading | 7 | $56 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Reading | 8 | $56 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Social <br> Studies | 8 | $40 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## School Proceses \& Programs Summary

School Processes \& Programs Summary:

## Perceptions Summary

Our campus host parent meetings to provide an opportunity to get their feedback regarding the campus. Student focus groups are held to hear student voice and to engage them in decision making for ownership of their campus. Our Family Engagment Specialist engages parents in the monthly Food Give-A way.

## Perceptions Strengths

Our campus host parent meetings to provide an opportunity to get their feedback regarding the campus. Student focus groups are held to hear student voice and to engage them in decision making for ownership of their campus. Our Family Engagment Specialist engages parents in the monthly Food Give-A way.

## Problem Statements I dentifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Out of focused parental group, perception of lack of student safety is a result of system of active monitoring. Root Cause: Lack of an implementation of system(s) for all staff to active monitor with intentional ity and fidelity.
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Problem Statement 1: During the 2022-2023 school year only 35\% of AA students in 7th grade met the projected growth from BOY to MOY on the Reading assessment and did not meet the NWEA 50\% target for the mid-year MAP growth.
Root Cause 1: Lack of instructional rigor in reading instruction and a lack of utilizing the learning continuum during instruction, especially for our AA students.
Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Leaming

Problem Statement 2: During the 2022-2023 school year only 35\% of AA students in 7th grade met the projected growth from BOY to MOY on the Math assessment and did not meet the NWEA 50\% target for the mid-year MAP growth.
Root Cause2: Lack of instructional rigor in math instruction and a lack of utilizing the learning continuum (i.e Carnegie) during instruction, especially for our AA students. Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Leaming

Problem Statement 3: In 22-23 school year student attendance was only at 90\%.
Root Cause3: Lack of an accuratesystem to track/update attendance hindering consistent communi cation between home and school.

Problem Statement 8: Out of focused parental group, perception of lack of student safety is a result of system of active monitoring. Root Cause8:

- Campus department and/or faculty meeting di scussions and data
- T-TESS data
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## Action Step 1 Details

Adion Step 1: By A ugust 31, develop a system/cycle of PD, observation, and feedback of Literacy instruction aligned to FWISD Literacy trainings and FWISD Instructional framework by mid September.

Intended Aucience ELA teachers
Provider / Presenter / Person Responsible Principal, AP, CIC, ELA Department Lead
Date(s) / Timeframe: August 2023-2024
Collaborating Departments ELA Department
Delivery Method: In-person and virtual
Funding Sources - Titlel (211)

Problem Statement 1 . During the 2022-2023 school year only 35\% of AA students in 7th grade met the projected growth from BOY to MOY on the Reading assessment and did not meet the NWEA 50\% target for the mid-year MAP growth. Root Cause Lack of instructional rigor in reading instruction and a lack of utilizing the learning continuum during instruction, especial ly for our AA students.

Problem Statement 2: During the 2022-2023 school year only 35\% of AA students in 7th grade met the projected growth from BOY to MOY on the Math assessment and did not meet the NWEA $50 \%$ target for the mid-year MAP growth. Root Cause Lack of instructional rigor in math instruction and a lack of utilizing the learning continuum (i.e Carnegie) during instruction, especially for our AA students.

Strategy's Expected Result//mpact. Instruction, student work, and student voice aligned to the rigor of the gradelevel.
$90 \%$ of teacher flexibility in use of Tier 1 instructional best practices to meet students' leaming needs (one instructional strategy/practice may not work for all students), that align with the CF.

90\% coaching conversations in STRIVE will focus on equity and Tier 1 instruction.
Staff Responsiblefor Monitoring: Administration, teachers, Instructional Coaches
Tittel:
2.4, 2.5, 2.6

- TEA Priorities

Recruit, support, retain teechers and princi pals, Build a foundation of reading and math

- ESF Levers.

Lever 1: Strong School Leedership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction
Problem Statements: Student Learning 2



Evaluation Data Sources MAP Growth, Benchmark, PLC's, STAAR

Strategy's Expected Result//mpact: Lessons and student work observed are aligned to the appropriate leved of rigor for the standard.
Coaching conversations documented in STRIVE will demonstrate job embedded instructional coaching focused on Tier 1 instruction and equity.
Staff Responsible for Monitoring; Administration, teachers, Instructional Coaches
Tittel:
2.4, 2.5, 2.6

- TEA Priorities

Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math

- ESF Levers.

Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Qual ity Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction

- Targeted Support Strategy

Problem Statements: Student Learning 1

| Adion Step 1 Details | Reviews |
| :--- | :--- |
| Action Step 1: Teachers will receive professional development on best practices on how to effectivedy integrate resources in |  |
| the classroom to increase depth of knowl edge for instruction. |  |
| Intended Audience Teachers |  |
| Provider / Presenter / Person Responsible ILT; CIC |  |
| Date(s) / Timerrame August 2023-May 2024 |  |
| Collaborating Departments Literacy Department |  |
| Delivery Method: Face to-Face |  |

Adion Step 2: Ensure that CTE schedules PLC time to increase the rigor as wel as to monitor the progression of the CTE program. Intended Audience:

Problem Statement 2: During the 2022-2023 school year only $35 \%$ of AA students in 7 th grade met the projected growth from BOY to MOY on the Math assessment and did not meet the NWEA $50 \%$ target for the mid-year MAP growth. Root Cause Lack of instructional rigor in math instruction and a lack of utilizing the learning continuum (i.e Carnegie) during instruction, especially for our AA students.

Evaluation Data Sources Student survey, Mobility data, Enrol Iment trends, Discipline records

Strategy'sExpected Result/mpact: Weekly Focus data will incrementally indi cate a decrease in chroni cally absenteeism until the percentage is at $10 \%$ as a result of daily/ weekly communication with students and families.

Staff Responsiblefor Monitoring AttendanceClerk, Stay-in School Coordinator, Assistant Principal, Parent Liaison, Counselors, Teachers
Tittel:
2.4, 2.5, 4.1, 4.2

## - TEA Priorities

Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math

## - ESF Levers

Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture

- Targeted Support Strategy

Problem Statements: School Processes \& Programs 1

| Adtion Step 1 Details | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acion Stap 1: Implement with fidelity (beginning 1st week teachers return) weekly focus data meeting that will | Formative |  |  | Summative |
| incrementally indicate a decreese in chroni cally absenteesmuntil the percentage is at $10 \%$ as a result of daily/weekly communication with students and families. | Nov | J an | Mar | $J$ une |
| Intended Audience Students, Parents, Attendance Team |  |  |  |  |
| Provider / Presenter / Person Responsible AttendanceClerk, Stay-in School Coordinator, Assistant Principal, Parent Liaison |  |  |  |  |
| Date(s) / Timeframe: August 2023-May 2024 |  |  |  |  |
| Collaborating Departments: Attendance Clerk, Stay-in School Coordinator, Assistant Principal, Parent Liaison, Counsel ors, Teachers |  |  |  |  |
| Delivery Method: In-person, virtual |  |  |  |  |
| Funcing Sources: - Tittel (211) - 211-11-6129-04N-060-30-510-000000-24F10-\$22,105 |  |  |  |  |

Problem Statement 2: During the 2022-2023 school year there were 137 total incidents and 99 of them were from African American students, 34 were from Hispanic students, 1 from muli tple, and 3 from white. The highest reeson for incidents was Fighting/Assault (37), the second highest reason was disrespectful/insubordi nate (35), and the third highest reason was persistent misbehavior (32). Root Cause Lack of classroom management being implemented with fiddity by teachers with the highest number of classroom referrals and an inconsistent set of standards being set by campus personnel on the campus.

Problem Statement 2: During the 2022-2023 school year there were 137 total incidents and 99 of them were from African American students, 34 were fromHispanic students, 1 from mulitple, and 3 from white. The highest reason for incidents was Fighting/Assault (37), the second highest reason was disrespectful/insubordi nate (35), and the third highest reason was persistent misbehavior (32). Root Cause Lack of dassroom management being implemented with fiddity by teachers with the highest number of classroom referrals and an inconsistent set of standards being set by campus personnel on the campus.
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| Name | Position | Program | FTE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AustinTrezcher | DataAnalyst |  |  |
| MichelleGarza | Titte1 Assistant | Family Engagement Specialist |  |
| Sylvia Patak | Fan |  |  |
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| CommitteeRde | Name | Position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Administrator | Robbie Davis | Principal |
| Administrator | Sara Woodson | AP |
| ClassroomTeacher 2 | Nancy Carpenter | Teacher |
| Professional District-Level Staff | Dimitria Campbal | Instructional Coach |
| Community Representative | Sylvia Patak | Family Engagement Specialist |
| District-level Professional | MalcolmJ ohnson | District Personne |
| Non-classroom Professional | Paulina Guzzardo | Intervention Specialist |
| ClassroomTeacher 3 | Melba Hernandez | Teacher |
| Administrator | Trezcher Austin | DA |
| ClassroomTeacher 4 | Christie Gibson | DERC - Teacher |
| Parent 1 | Edith Breton | Parent |
| Non-classroom Professional | Lisa Lee | Counselor |
| Parent 2 | Brittany Drake | Parent |
| Professional Non-Teaching Staff | Luz Almendarez | Secretary |
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| Titel (211) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Goal | School Performance Objective | Strategy | Action Step | Resources Needed | Description | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | Reading materials for classroomuse | 211-11-6329-04N-060-30-510-000000-24F10 | \$5,000.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | Subs for professional development | 211-11-6112-OPD-060-30-510-000000-24F10 | \$5,000.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | Data Analyst | 211-13-6119-04N-060-30-510-000000-24F10 | \$79,751.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | Technology for instructional use | 211-11-6396-04N-060-30-510-000000-24F10 | \$5,000.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | Supplies and materials for instructional use | 211-11-6399-04N-060-30-510-000000-24F10 | \$2,934.79 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | Technology for instructional use | 211-11-6396-04N-060-30-510-000000-24F10 | \$12,089.00 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | Teacher Assistant | 211-11-6129-04N-060-30-510-000000-24F10 | \$22,105.00 |
| 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  | Family Engagement Specialist | 211-61-6129-04L-060-30-510-000000-24F10 | \$32,604.00 |
| Sub-Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$164,483.79 |
| Budgeted Fund SourceAmount |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$164,467.79 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | +1- Difference | -\$16.00 |
| SCE (199PIC 24) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District Goal | School Performance Objective | Strategy | Action Step | Resources Needed | Description | Account Code | Amount |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | Technology for instructional use | 199-11-6396-001-060-24-273-000000- | - \$8,925.00 |
| Sub-Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$8,925.00 |
| Budgeted Fund Source Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  | t \$8,925.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Parent Engagement

| District Goal | School Performance Objective | Strategy | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Action } \\ & \text { Step } \end{aligned}$ | Resources Needed | Description | Account Code | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 |  | Snacks for Parents to promote participation | 211-61-6499-04L-060-30-510-000000-24F10 | \$3,618.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Sub-Total | \$3,618.00 |
| - Budgeted Fund Source Amount $\$ 3,618.00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## SPED (199 PIC 23)

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { District } \\
\text { Goal }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { School } \\
\text { Performance } \\
\text { Objective }\end{array} & \text { Strategy } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Action } \\
\text { Step }\end{array}
$$ \& Resources Needed \& Description <br>
\hline \& Account <br>

Code\end{array}\right]\)| Amount |
| :---: |

